

The Magistrate's Complaint: A Quickie (x2)

LEFT

Photograph of backside of *States Courts of Singapore* queue ticket number I got on the day of a hearing. The handwriting is that of Ma'am Lee Poh Choo's aide. She is married.

The Magistrate's Complaint: A Quickie (x2)


If a crime has been committed and reported, and for some reason the organs of State (here the Singapore Police Force and Attorney General) did not move, one may complain to the Magistrate. The Magistrate may then administer justice.

For example, a person complained to the Magistrate because a neighbor he is not on good terms with, and who happens to be a traffic warden, clamps his car frequently and unjustly. The local authorities did not seem eager to stop this bullying, so he complained to the Magistrate about his neighbor the quarrelsome traffic warden.

After looking at the evidence, which must include a police report, the Magistrate may then find a *just* resolution. Of course, an *amicable* resolution is always preferred.

I had two police reports I could have put in with my Magistrate's complaint. A more general one about the S\$ 20 million that George Augustine, Ajai Vyas, Rupshi Mitra, and others at NTU and NUS appear to have stolen from the people of Singapore, and a more specific one about a particular apparent fraud by Rupshi Mitra and others at NTU. The police reports and Magistrate's complaints put in are below.

I put in two Magistrate's complaints, one for each police report. The Magistrate who heard both complaints in chambers is Lee Poh Choo.¹



1 [Here](#) in the Singapore Government Directory.

Before I met the Magistrate Lee Poh Choo, I asked: does one put the more general police report, or the more specific police report in with the Magistrate? The answer was ubiquitous: Put in the more general police report. The Magistrate is then at liberty to consider not only your more specific report, but also any other police report as she deems fit and just. She can put in what she likes.

The first time I met the Magistrate Lee Poh Choo, she said:

“Your police report is about corruption
but your complaint is about employment.
Since the police report you submitted
is not related to your complaint,
I am dismissing your complaint.
You may leave the room.”

I offered her Honor to show the more specific police report. She replied:

“I look only at one police report.
I will not look at any other police report.
I am dismissing the complaint.
Please leave the room.”

I put in a second Magistrate’s complaint in chambers along with the police report specific to Rupshi Mitra’s (Dementia Consortium, Singapore) [falsified ethics document](#). In the second hearing, Madam Lee Poh Choo said:

“Your police report is about *research*
but your complaint is about *employment*
so I am dismissing your complaint.
Please leave the room.”

I said that my *employment* is in *research*.

I also asked her what would she like to see in the police report I upload onto the system exactly, I might already have such a report lodged and if not I will lodge one. She told me not to come back again and she was moved when she did so. She repeated that I am to leave the room. I did not right away, I dilly-dallied so that she had to ask me to leave the room several times, the last time in what appeared to be a screech.

I said: ‘I’m not stupid your Honor’, bowed at her Honor and left.²

Madam Lee Poh Choh has precedent for finding mitigating factors.³

I wonder if she finds any factors aggravating..

Scroll down for documents.

Click [here](#) to Fraud in the Singapore Supreme Court.

² This statement might be worth revision in retrospect.

³ For instance, see [here](#).



**SINGAPORE
POLICE FORCE**



E/20201226/2057

1 of 4

Report No. E/20201226/2057

POLICE REPORT (NP299)

Police Station Of Origin
Orchard N.P.C
51 Killiney Road SINGAPORE 239572
Tel No: 1800-7359999

Date/Time Report Made 26/12/2020 23:16	Vide Report No. E/20201226/2054	Station Diary No. 45
Name Of Informant MOHAMED MUSTAFA MAHMOUD HELMY	Address APT BLK 10 JURONG LAKE LINK #15-39 LAKE GRANDE SINGAPORE 648131	
ID Type / ID No. FIN NO / G3363781R	Contact No. Home/Office	Mobile 83555817
Nationality EGYPTIAN	Email Address helmy.m@gmail.com	
Occupation Freelance Researcher	Sex Male	Age 41
Institution/School Name	Date of Birth 10/07/1979	Race Others
Date/Time Of Incident 03/09/2020 00:00	Location Of Incident 10 JURONG LAKE LINK #15-39 LAKE GRANDE SINGAPORE 648131	

Brief details.

I wish to report on the official document from Nanyang Technological University (NTU) , Institutional animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Animal Use Protocol (AUP) which forged by 3 responsible persons at NTU.

Making a false document [Penal Code 224, Chapter XVIII, Article 463] and Violation of Animals and Birds Act [Chapter 7, Section 80]

Signature Of Officer Recording The Report: E / Sgt 2 BRYAN LIM KAH LOK		Signature Of Informant:
Signature Of Interpreter: Not applicable		Date/Time: 26/12/2020 23:16
Officer In-Charge Of Case: E / Tanglin Police Divisional Investigation Branch / Sr Staff Sgt CHUA SIONG EIK Contact No.: 63914726		Classification Of Case:

Authentication Stamp





SINGAPORE
POLICE FORCE

POLICE REPORT (NP299)

CONTINUATION OF REPORT



E/20201226/2057

2 of 4

Report No. E/20201226/2057

The document number is NTU IACUC AUP: A19027

Rupshi Mitra is Assistant Professor at NTU, the author of AUP:A19027 and the Principal Investigator in these animal experiments.

Subra Suresh is NTU CEO and responsible for statements made in AUP:A19027

Peter Preiser is Professor at NTU, Associate Vice President in Biomedical and Life Sciences has a leading role in the Research Integrity Committee, and is the Pre-Reviewer for NTU AUP: A19027, (Section 2f, page 2) .

1. In AUP:A19027, Page 3 Section 4a. "Animal Characteristics; Strain(s) and Sex, it states "...C57BL/6 Balb c Genetic mutants on this background.."

Page 16, Section 12b. Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) (i) Is Recombinant DNA or transgenic animal used? GMAC approval must be obtained and attached to this section tab, it states, "No".


C57BL/6 is one background. BALB/c is another background.

"..Genetic mutants.." on either one of two backgrounds are transgenic animals by definition, or GMOs, according GMAC (Singapore Biosafety Guidelines for Research).

The animals used in the experiments of A19027 are a triple known-in mouse model of Alzheimer's

Signature Of Officer Recording The Report: E / Sgt 2 BRYAN LIM KAH LOK	Signature Of Informant: <i>M. Helmy</i>
Signature Of Interpreter: Not applicable	Date/Time: 26/12/2020 23:16
Officer In-Charge Of Case: E / Tanglin Police Divisional Investigation Branch / Sr Staff Sgt CHUA SIONG EIK Contact No.: 63914726	Classification Of Case:

Authentication Stamp





disease.

2. In AUP:A19027 Rupshi Mitra states that she is my trainer for procedures involving animal surgery and her qualification is "...Rupshi Mitra>5 years of experience.." (in page 13). Rupshi Mitra has no documented experience in animal surgery.

3. In page 1 of AUP:A19027 the project title is "...Neurobiology of resistance..". The funding source is "...AcRF Tier 3 Defining the brain circuitry defects that cause dementia..", as well as in page 2, "...AcRF Tier 1-Connectivity of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in simple and complex housing living environment..".

In section 5(i) Page 5, Specific Objectives of the Project, it states:"...Serves as an ideal animal model To delineate brain circuits and interacting hormones that mediate behaviors in rodents. Study anxiety/depression/memory behavior Study neuronal changes in structure and function that relates to behavior study molecular and epigenetic changes Study physiological changes, hormones, weights, locomotion etc Study other aspects of gut microbiome and proteomics.." (sic).

In addition to the absence of a meaningful objective, the stated objective is also unphysiological.

The methods applied for in NTU AUP: A19027 are a mixture of methods with no discernible aim. They appear to be a collection of methods previously used by Rupshi Mitra and her spouse Ajai Vyas (Associate Professor at NTU). In any case the word 'memory' is used once and 'dementia' twice including once in the title, and any projects as such cannot be linked neither to a study on resilience nor on dementia.

Signature Of Officer Recording The Report: E / Sgt 2 BRYAN LIM KAH LOK	Signature Of Informant: <i>H. Helmy</i>
Signature Of Interpreter: Not applicable	Date/Time: 26/12/2020 23:16
Officer In-Charge Of Case: E / Tanglin Police Divisional Investigation Branch / Sr Staff Sgt CHUA SIONG EIK Contact No : 63914726	Classification Of Case:

Authentication Stamp





**SINGAPORE
POLICE FORCE**



E/20201226/2057

4 of 4

POLICE REPORT (NP299)

CONTINUATION OF REPORT

Report No. E/20201226/2057


4. I was not informed of research question, objective, protocol and outcome by Rupshi Mitra which is a violation of the NACLAR Guidelines by Rupshi Mitra and Subra Suresh.

5. Animal used in the dementia research consortium including by Rupshi Mitra are a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Cases at the Animal Research Facility at Lee Kong Chian, NTU, Novena, were labelled 'APP KI' and were owned by George J. Augustine. Do these animals produce tau protein? In what quantity? What hazard protection and cleaning procedures if any are recommended? How does RM justify not informing NTU IACUC and her staff of potential biological hazard?

Workplace and worker health and safety may have been compromised by falsifications.

6. Euthanasia described in AUP:A19027 is a particularly salient violation and constitutes gross animal cruelty since there is no reason for not using anesthesia to kill the animals.

Signature Of Officer Recording The Report: E / Sgt 2 BRYAN LIM KAH LOK	Signature Of Informant: <i>M. Helmy</i>
Signature Of Interpreter: Not applicable	Date/Time: 26/12/2020 23:16
Officer In-Charge Of Case: E / Tanglin Police Divisional Investigation Branch / Sr Staff Sgt CHUA SIONG EIK Contact No.: 63914726	Classification Of Case:






ignored the person as I was not expecting anyone or any delivery. I went back into my room to continue my work. Few minutes later, another male subject knocked on my unit door. I opened the door. He had been accompanying the man who served my termination letter, I remember seeing him standing behind the man

The male subject said something about "NTU", I told him I do not know anything about that and told him to "go away, go away". However, he did not leave and called someone on the phone.

I went back into my house and grab my belongings before leaving the unit, with the male subject still standing outside.

On 19/12/2020 past midnight, I went down to check the security and watched the CCTVs, I did not see myself neither did I see the guy who was at my unit earlier. I told the guards to produce the footage or I will go to the police.

Signature Of Officer Recording The Report: E / Sgt 3 TRICIA NG CHU ER 
Signature Of Interpreter: Not applicable
Officer In-Charge Of Case: E / Tanglin Police Divisional Investigation Branch / SI KANG BEE YAN Contact No.: 63914774

Signature Of Informant: 
Date/Time: 19/12/2020 16:57
Classification Of Case:

Authentication Stamp



Mohamed Helmy
10 Jurong Lake Link, #15-39
Singapore 648131

Sunday 10th of January, 2021

Magistrates' Court
1 Havelock Square
Singapore 059724

Magistrate's complaint by Mohamed Helmy against Rupshi Mitra for forgery under Penal Code 224, Chapter XVIII, Article 463

Your Honour,

I am an aggrieved person because Rupshi Mitra forged an official document which led to: (i) termination of my employment; (ii) use of this forged document as a basis for intimidating me prior to termination of my employment; (iii) misrepresentation of my expertise and slander of my title; and (iv) exposure of myself and others to biohazard. In forging this document, Rupshi Mitra therefore also violated the Animal and Birds Act, Defamation Act, Protection from Harassment Act, and Workplace Safety and Health Act. I ask for the police to investigate the particulars of this forged document.

1. Particulars of the Complainant and Respondent

- a. Complainant: Mohamed Mustafa Mahmoud Helmy, FIN G3363781R, born 10th July 1979 in Kuwait, formerly Research Fellow at the School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, residing at 10 Jurong Lake Link, #15-39, Singapore 648131, mobile phone number 83 555 817, email helmy.m@gmail.com
- b. Respondent: Rupshi Mitra, Assistant Professor at the School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, mobile phone number 8438 1254, email rmitra@ntu.edu.sg

2. Criminal acts by Rupshi Mitra under Penal Code 224, Chapter XVIII, Article 463

- a. Violation of Penal Code 224, Chapter XVIII, Article 463, forgery of the official document Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Animal Use Protocol (AUP) A19027.
- b. Pursuant to violations of the Penal Code 224 as mentioned in paragraph 2.a. above, violation of the Animals and Birds Act, Chapter 70, Section 80 and subsequently National Advisory Committee for Laboratory Animal Research Guidelines on the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and also subsequently Genetic Modification Advisory Committee the Singapore Biosafety Guidelines for Research on Genetically Modified Organisms.
- c. Pursuant to violations of Penal Code 224 as mentioned in paragraph 2.a. above, violation of the Defamation Act, Chapter 75, Article 6.
- d. Pursuant to violations of Penal Code 224 as mentioned in paragraph 2.a. above, violation of the Protection from Harassment Act, Articles 3 and 4.
- e. Pursuant to violations of the Penal Code 224 as mentioned in paragraph 2.a. above and the Animals and Birds Act and rules thereof mentioned in paragraph 2.b. above, violation of Workplace Safety and Health Act, Chapter 354A, Article 14.

3. Particulars of the forged document

- a. In AUP: A19027 (attached), page 3, section 4a. under "...Animal Characteristics; Strain(s) and Sex...", Rupshi Mitra wrote: "...C57BL/6 Balb c Genetic mutants on this background...". On page 16, section 12b. under "...Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) (i) Is Recombinant DNA or transgenic animal used? GMAC approval must be obtained and attached to this section tab...", Rupshi Mitra wrote: "...No..." C57BL/6 is one background. BALB/c is another background. "...Genetic mutants..." on either one of two backgrounds are transgenic animals by definition and as evident in the term, or GMOs. Forgery, self-contradictions, and GMAC violations are therefore obvious in AUP: A19027.
- b. Animals used in the dementia research consortium including by Rupshi Mitra are a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. The GMOs were labelled 'APP KI' and were owned by George J. Augustine. 'APP KI' is an amyloid precursor protein triple knock-in mouse model of Alzheimer's disease.
- c. In AUP: A19027 Rupshi Mitra wrote the project title is "...Neurobiology of resistance..." (page 1). The funding source is "...AcRF Tier 3 Defining the brain circuitry defects that cause dementia..." as well as "...AcRF Tier 1- Connectivity of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in simple and complex housing living environment..." (page 2).
 - i. The latter and minor funding source describes projects published by Rupshi Mitra as of 2016 and so was approved, received earlier, and probably depleted and therefore including it putatively adds to forgery of the official document AUP: A19027 by Rupshi Mitra; to the best of my knowledge this funding source was not acknowledged by Rupshi Mitra.¹
 - ii. Neurobiology of resistance is one research project, defining the brain circuitry defects that cause dementia is another. This forgery of the official document AUP: A19027 is also in contradiction to Singapore law governing financial reporting and animal use for scientific research as more than one project is in the same official document. Thus, multiple and unrelated research projects were illegally claimed by Rupshi Mitra under one heading.
 - iii. This forgery was used by Rupshi Mitra to intimidate me because I politely pointed out invalid scientific arguments she had made relating to the Neurobiology of resistance research project. Rupshi Mitra threatened to terminate my research project in an email sent to Nanyang Technological University Office of Human Resources on Thursday 27 August, 2020, Subject: Readjustment of research scope. Rupshi Mitra apparently did cancel the initial research project because I was made to work in the context of the AcRF Tier 3 Defining the brain circuitry defects that cause dementia grant and when I requested information on the novel research project and my contribution to it Rupshi Mitra told me it is 'none of my concern'. Cancellation of research project requires that the Animal & Veterinary Service be informed and this was not done. Rupshi Mitra intimidated me by falsely claiming budget for a research project was depleted but in reality I was made to work by Rupshi Mitra under the same funding AcRF Tier 3 funding scheme utilising the document Rupshi Mitra forged.
- d. The transgenic animals I was forced to use by Rupshi Mitra without being informed of research and experimental details were labelled 'APP KI' but this is not in AUP: A19027 and I was not informed of the genetic background of the animals I was working with. These GMOs probably produce tau protein which has prion-like activity, and therefore is a significant dangerous biohazard to myself and others using the common workplace in which experiments were done. Additional safety precautions must be taken when using GMOs producing tau protein which

¹ Koe, A.S., Ashokan, A., and Mitra, R., "Short Environmental Enrichment in Adulthood Reverses Anxiety and Basolateral Amygdala Hypertrophy Induced by Maternal Separation," *Translational psychiatry* 6, (2016): e729; Ashokan, A., Lim, J.W.H., Hang, N., and Mitra, R., "Complex Housing Causes a Robust Increase in Dendritic Complexity and Spine Density of Medial Prefrontal Cortical Neurons," *Scientific Reports* 8, (2018):1-9; and Bhaskar, Y., Lim, L.W., and Mitra, R., "Enriched Environment Facilitates Anxiolytic Efficacy Driven by Deep-Brain Stimulation of Medial Prefrontal Cortex," *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* 12, (2018):1-9.

putatively has prion-like activity and these are reasonably practicable but were not implemented because Rupshi Mitra withheld necessary information. Forgery of AUP: A19027 by Rupshi Mitra therefore placed myself and others at unnecessary risk of biohazard exposure. Rupshi Mitra brought about this biohazard exposure and withheld information from me probably because she did not want the forgery of AUP: A19027 exposed.

- e. In AUP: A19027 Rupshi Mitra writes that she is my trainer for procedures involving animal surgery and her qualification is "...Rupshi Mitra >5 years of experience..." (page 13). Rupshi Mitra has no published matter reporting animal surgery with the exception of one article analogous to another produced by her spouse.² RM dismissed my expertise in animal research and teaching and which includes full responsibility for initiating and implementing the animal surgery course as part of European Union animal experiment licencing course FELASA-C at the University of Helsinki, Finland. I was tasked with setting up animal surgery experiments for Rupshi Mitra and her spouse at Nanyang Technological University and my expertise is evident in the consultation I received in correspondence from Rupshi Mitra, her spouse, and others in the laboratory working under Rupshi Mitra's spouse.
- f. In AUP: A19027, section 5(i) page 5, Specific Objectives of the Project, Rupshi Mitra wrote: "...Serves as an ideal animal model To delineate brain circuits and interacting hormones that mediate behaviors in rodents. Study anxiety/depression/memory behaviour Study neuronal changes in structure and function that relates to behaviour Study molecular and epigenetic changes Study physiological changes, hormones, weights, locomotion etc Study other aspects of gut microbiome and proteomics..." (*sic*). Therefore a meaningful objective is absent and integral to forgery perpetrated by Rupshi Mitra for reasons not stated in the document. Section 5(ii) Project Abstract is similarly meaningless and unrelated to methods subsequently and poorly described. Furthermore, the methods Rupshi Mitra applied for in AUP: A19027 are a mixture of methods with no discernible aim. They appear to be a collection of methods previously used by Rupshi Mitra and her spouse Ajai Vyas; analytic descriptions are complete and will be furnished immediately upon request. In any case any project as such in the forged document AUP: A19027 cannot be linked neither to a study on resilience nor on dementia.
- g. Rupshi Mitra in forging the document AUP: A19027 claimed extensive experience with experiments to research dementia but contradictorily the experiments should have been completely novel as Rupshi Mitra has not published anything on dementia.³
- h. Procedures and methods I was forced to do by Rupshi Mitra deviated from those applied for in AUP: A19027.

4. Justification for police to investigate forgery by Rupshi Mitra

- a. Because Rupshi Mitra forged the document AUP: A19027 my employment was unlawfully terminated.
 - i. I submitted a report indicating forgery of this document to Nanyang Technological University Legal and Secretarial Office, Office of Human Resources, and Research Integrity Committee on 11 September 2020, and subsequently increasingly explicitly and in several aspects indicating forgery of AUP: A19027 by Rupshi Mitra on 21 September 2020 and 25 September 2020.
 - ii. I spoke with the Chair of the School of Biological Sciences prior to submission of any document to Nanyang Technological University Legal and Secretarial Office, Office of Human Resources, and Research Integrity Committee to seek guidance and to request my

² Bhaskar, Y., Lim, L.W., and Mitra, R., "Enriched Environment Facilitates Anxiolytic Efficacy Driven by Deep-Brain Stimulation of Medial Prefrontal Cortex," *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* 12, (2018):1-9 and Liu, A., Jain, N., Vyas, A., and Lim, L.W., "Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Stimulation Enhances Memory and Hippocampal Neurogenesis in the Middle-aged Rats," *Elife* 4, (2015): e04803.

³ Full oeuvre of Rupshi Mitra's work at NTU furnished upon request .

reassignment elsewhere at Nanyang Technological University. I was told that I must make an official report to Nanyang Technological University and that if there is any reason for my employment contract to be terminated it will be terminated.

- iii. On 4 December 2020 my employment contract was unlawfully terminated by Nanyang Technological University.
- b. Because Rupshi Mitra forged the document AUP: A19027 she could perpetuate ambiguity regarding the research project I was funded by and intimidate me by threatening to terminate my contract because I pointed out a scientific invalidity in her arguments and in so doing she violated the Protection from Harassment Act, Articles 3 and 4.
- c. In forging the document AUP: A19027 Rupshi Mitra misrepresented my expertise and slandered my title and so violated the Defamation Act, Chapter 75, Article 6.
- d. By forging the document AUP: A19027 Rupshi Mitra violated the Animals and Birds Act, Chapter 70, Section 80 and subsequently National Advisory Committee for Laboratory Animal Research Guidelines on the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and also subsequently Genetic Modification Advisory Committee the Singapore Biosafety Guidelines for Research on Genetically Modified Organisms and so could perpetuate ambiguity on the research project I was working under.
- e. By forging the document AUP: A19027 and therefore violating the Animals and Birds Act and rules thereof mentioned in paragraph 4.d. Rupshi Mitra exposed workers including myself to biohazard, namely prion-like activity of tau protein produced by the GMOs used in experiments.

5. Particulars of the police report made

- a. I lodged the police report E/20201226/2057 at Orchard NPC with the Recording Officer Sgt Bryan Lim Kah Lok and the Officer in Charge of Case is stated Sr Staff Sgt Chua Siong Eik.
- b. An officer who identified himself as 'Investigating Officer Darrell' spoke with me several times on the mobile phone of Sgt Bryan Lim Kah Lok and later on the Orchard NPC landline on which Sgt Bryan Lim Kah Lok informed me calls were recorded and 'I/O Darrell' explicitly told me that the report I was in the process of lodging will not be investigated and shouted at me: "You must leave Singapore this is our warning to you!"
- c. I submitted a feedback form to the Singapore Police Force pertaining to the events outlined in paragraph 5.b. above and was called by the Singapore Police Force and asked if I wish to receive a review on the feedback form I submitted and I replied in the affirmative.

I, Mohamed Mustafa Mahmoud Helmy hereby declare and confirm that all the information I have given above are true and accurate and I understand and accept that there may be serious legal consequences if the information provided is false.

Yours faithfully,



Mohamed Mustafa Mahmoud Helmy, FIN G3363781R
Singapore, 10.1.2021

To: Magistrates' Court
From: Mohamed Helmy
Re: Harrassment and unlawful dismissal by my employer
Date: 22 December 2020

Your Honour,

I am Mohamed Mustafa Mahmoud Helmy, FIN number G3363781R. I am known as Helmy. My contract started as a Research Fellow at the School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University (SBS, NTU) on 7 April 2020. My reporting officer, Assistant Professor Rupshi Mitra (henceforth Mitra) violated the Animals and Birds Act by not informing me of research question, objective, protocol, and expected outcome. She also forged information on the Animal Use Protocol my name was on. NTU CEO Subra Suresh violated the Animal and Birds Act by not enforcing its compliance, and forged information on the Animal Use Protocol my name was on.

When I was asked to blatantly violate the Animals and Birds Act and fabricate data I had to say no. I had started by speaking informally with the Chair of SBS, NTU to seek guidance. He told me to submit a report to NTU, which I did indicating research misconduct by Mitra and asking to be reassigned within the University. Associate Professor Roderick Wayland Bates (henceforth Bates) told me to submit evidence of misconduct in published articles. This I did and the evidence is abundant. Bates dismissed all the evidence.

These events led to my unlawful dismissal. Esther Quek extorted me into silence in the termination letter she sent. I was recently harassed at my place of residence by an individual claiming to work for NTU.

Associate Professor Ajai Vyas (henceforth Vyas) is Mitra's spouse and heads the laboratory adjacent. Vyas also plays a leading part in the NTU Dementia Consortium under Professor George J. Augustine (henceforth Augustine). We were meant to work together but he evaded my query. The mice I worked with belonged to Augustine. All of Mitra, Vyas, and Augustine received part of a S\$19.4 million grant to do research on dementia and Alzheimer's disease. That nothing has been done with this money is detailed in *MH2.pdf* and that is not why I am bringing my complaint to Magistrates' Court. I present this evidence to show that NTU has a lot to lose by investigating this matter.

That said, I did not want to escalate, and would not have investigated the Dementia Consortium had I been quietly moved at any stage of my reasonable negotiation with NTU. As a whistleblower, my reputation in the field is slandered.

One law firm took my money and did nothing. Several law firms heard my story and commiserated and said they will do nothing. One promised to do something and did nothing. The website told me the Magistrate may not help to remain impartial, and my father told me I do not need a lawyer when I've done nothing wrong, the judge will see that justice is done.

I remain,



Mohamed Helmy

MD, PhD
helmy.m@gmail.com
+65 83 555 817
10 Jurong Lake Link, #15-39
Singapore 648131
FIN: G3363781R